

Name:

Form and Analysis Assessment Rubric

CATEGORY	SUPERIOR (10 PTS)	EXCELLENT (9 PTS)	GOOD (7 PTS)	FAIR/POOR (6-5 PTS)	POINT TOTALS
<b>Effectiveness</b>	Project includes <b>all</b> materials needed to gain a comfortable understanding of the topic. It is a highly effective presentation for study and further research.	Project includes <b>most</b> materials needed to gain a comfortable understanding of the subject but is lacking key elements. It is an adequate study guide and beginning point for research.	Project is missing more many key elements, which makes it incomplete. It would make an incomplete study guide.	Project is lacking several key elements and has inaccuracies that make it a poor study guide.	
<b>Sequencing of Information</b>	Information is organized in a clear, logical way. It is well written and flows in a sequential manner.	<b>Most</b> information is organized in a clear, logical way. Over all writing is very good but lacks the nuances of a complete work.	Some information is logically sequenced. However, there seems to be a lack of organization with information presented in logical manner.	There is no clear plan for the organization of information.	
<b>Content - Accuracy</b>	<b>All</b> content throughout the presentation is accurate and well stated.	<b>Most</b> of the content is accurate but the work lacks cohesiveness with some information missing or not enough. The reader is left with a limited understanding of the subject.	The content is generally accurate with substantial information missing or not enough to make the reader understand the subject.	Content is typically confusing with factual errors. Information is limited and not presented well.	
<b>Requirements</b>	All requirements are met and exceeded. The writer went beyond what was required.	All requirements are met with some attempt to exceed what was expected.	Most requirements were met with some sections missing or not compete.	A majority of the project was not fulfilled with missing sections and information.	
<b>Organization</b>	Contents were well organized and presented, which made it easy to read and understand.	Contents were organized and presented well. However, the reader was somewhat confused in the overall outcome of the project.	Content is logically organized however; the project seemed to be put together in a hasty manner with little forethought.	There was no clear or logical organizational structure to the project and was not put together well.	
<b>Sources</b>	Source information collected for <b>all</b> graphics, facts and quotes. All documented in desired format.	Source information collected for all graphics, facts and quotes. <b>Most</b> documented in desired format.	Source information collected for graphics, facts and quotes, but not documented in desired format.	Very little or no source information was collected.	
<b>Point Totals (60)</b>					

**KEY**

SUPERIOR: 100-90 EXCELLENT: 80-89 GOOD: 70-79 FAIR: 60-69 POOR: 0-59